• Lee Ch 9 Problem 6ab (but not the extra part a, which is not part of problem 6). This problem should say that M has no boundary.

## Solution:

- (a) Following the definition of  $\delta$  in Lee 9.6,  $\Delta = \delta d = -*d * d$ . If f is a constant function,  $\Delta f = \delta df = 0$ , so 0 is an eigenvalue of  $\Delta$ . Then any eigenfunction f satisfies  $\delta df = \lambda f$ , so that  $\lambda(f|f) = (\lambda f, f) = (\delta df|f) = (df|df) \geq 0$ , and since  $(f|f) > 0, \lambda \geq 0$ .
- (b) Using the self adjoint property of  $\Delta$ :

$$\lambda_1(f_1|f_2) = (\lambda_1 f_1|f_2) = (\Delta f_1, f_2) = (f_1|\Delta f_2) = (f_1|\lambda_2 f_2) = \lambda_2(f_1|f_2).$$

If  $(f_1|f_2) \neq 0$  then divide through and get  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ .

A few words about manifolds with boundary here: First, if M = [a, b] is an interval, then  $\Delta = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}$ , and then  $f(x) = \sin(ax)$  and  $f(x) = e^{ax}$  are eigenfunctions with eigenvalues  $\pm a^2$ , so there really is a problem. It comes about because d and  $\delta$  are no longer adjoint - instead there is a boundary correction term. In the proof of Proposition 9.45, if  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are not zero on  $\partial M$ , then

$$\int_M d(\alpha \wedge *\beta) = \int_{\partial M} \alpha \wedge *\beta$$

is no longer zero. In part (a) above, this results in  $(\delta df|f) = (df|df) - \int_{\partial M} df \wedge *f$ , which should remind you of integration by parts.

To fix the problem, either assume M has no boundary, or else assume some sort of boundary conditions on the functions in question. The usual choices are that  $f|_{\partial M} = 0$  (Dirichlet boundary conditions) or that  $df|_{\partial M} = 0$  (Neumann boundary conditions). With either of these boundary conditions, d and  $\delta$  are adjoint again, because  $\int_{\partial M} df \wedge *f = 0$ .

• Lee Ch 9 Problem 7. In this problem, it should say that N is a *unit* normal field.

**Solution:** For  $p \in M$ , let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be an orthonormal basis for  $T_p(M)$ , so that

$$\operatorname{vol}_M(X_1,\ldots,X_n)=1.$$

If  $\mu = dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{n+1}$  is the Euclidean volume form on  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , then the interior product  $\iota_N \mu$  defines an *n*-form on M, and

$$\iota_N \mu(X_1, \ldots, X_n) = \mu(N, X_1, \ldots, X_n) = 1,$$

since  $N, X_1, \ldots, X_n$  form a postively oriented orthonormal basis for  $T_p \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . Then  $\operatorname{vol}_M = \iota_N \mu$  since the space of *n*-forms on  $T_p(M)$  is one dimensional. Finally,

$$\operatorname{vol}_{M} = \iota_{N}(dx^{1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{n+1}) = \sum_{i} (-1)^{i-1} N^{i} dx^{1} \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dx^{i}} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{n+1}$$